# BEST AVAILABLE COPY,



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Unit d States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR	TA	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/001,6	43 12/31,	/97 ALBERT		R	1431/USW0391
				EXAMINER		
•	022193 TM02/0911 QWEST COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL INC					
	LAW DEPT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		HUYNE	PAPER NUMBER
			TUAL PROPERTY G REET, SUITE 380		ART UNIT	PAPER NOWIDER
	DENVER C		REET, SUITE SOU	o	2176	15
			•		DATE MAILED:	
			•			09/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	_		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Offic	•	09/001,643	ALBERT ET AL.					
i		Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
,			Cong-Lac Huynh	2176					
Peri df	The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication app							
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED MAILING D nsions of time in SIX (6) MONTI period for reply period for reply re to reply with eply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 The strome state of this communication. The specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we not the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
Status		.,,							
1)⊠		ve to communication(s) filed on <u>13 J</u>	<u>uly 2001</u> .						
2a)⊠									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disp siti	on of Clai	ns							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application	on Papers								
9)□ T	he specific	cation is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[	All b)	Some * c) ☐ None of:							
•	1.∐ Certi	fied copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2	2. Certi	fied copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicatio	n No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)∐ Ac	knowledgr	nent is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(									
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftsperso ation Disclosu	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 15					

"Application/Control Number: 09/001,643

Art Unit: 2176

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed on 1/17/00 to the application filed on 12/31/97.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the case. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

, Application/Control Number: 09/001,643

Art Unit: 2176

5. Claims 1-4, 6-11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand et al. (US Pat No. 5,710,900, 1/20/98) in view of Peters et al. (US Pat No. 5,884,284, 3/16/99).

Page 3

Regarding independent claim 1, Anand discloses:

- -- a host site connected to at least one user computer terminal via an on-line interconnection, said host site comprising a database for storing the sale information and inventory information, and a processor terminal connected to the on-line interconnection for receiving a user report request and sending reports created in response to the received requests and additional on-line user input (col 1, lines 5-7, 54-67; col 2, lines 1-4; col 2, lines 14-25, 31-34, 39-41; col 9, lines 16-32; col 6, lines 43-67; col 7, lines 1-13, figures 6, 10-11)
- -- a view manager arranged to generate a set of function commands which can be selectively launched by user input to graphically display a list of available views each of which contains a report relating to particular user information stored in a database, create a new view and report, and edit a view and report (col 2, lines 14-25, 31-34, 39-41, figures 6, 10-11)

Anand fails to disclose the database containing the user billing.

#### Peters discloses:

- -- generating a set of function commands which can be selectively launched by user input to graphically display a list of available views each of which contains a report relating to a particular user information stored in a database, create a new view and report, and edit a view and report (col 6, lines 4-16; col 8, lines 37-53)
- -- a data manager arranged to relate data maintained independently from the host site and input by a user with the user's billing and inventory data for display as part of a

. Application/Control Number: 09/001,643

Art Unit: 2176

request report (col 1, lines 10-15; col 6, lines 4-12; lines 50-67; col 7, lines 1-27; col 4, lines 63 to col 5, lines 1-8, a user is required to enter an ID as well as a password, which are information relating to a subscriber and maintained independently from the central storage device, to access to files and data in the central storage device). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Peters to Anand. As disclosed by Anand, the database of inventory information that allows a user to access to create and edit a report relating to a particular user information in the database and the database disclosed in Peters comprises billing and account information database, which is one type of database, that allows the creating of billing report over telecommunications. The combination of these two would allow generating a report of billing and inventory information stored in said database as well as user ID information of a user relating to a particular user information displayed in the report.

Regarding claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, the fact that the Smart Report Viewing subsystem allows the user to format text, tables, and graphs in the report as well as add the footer, annotations to the report implies that the view editor receives and implements user editing instructions (disclosed in claim 1).

Regarding claim 3, the system of Anand includes a graphical user interface for the user to interact with the system.

Regarding claim 4, Anand discloses the aggregating method such as add, average, min, max, count to disclose the change in the Smart Report (col 17, lines 65-67; col 18,

. Application/Control Number: 09/001,643

Art Unit: 2176

lines 1-50). Anand also discloses the drill down command (col 9, lines 16-32, col 10, lines 50-67).

Regarding claim 6, the system of Anand and Peters comprises an Internet connection and web browser.

Regarding claim 7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have known that the graphical user interface comprises Java applets and HTML page.



Claims 8-11 are the method for generating an on-line report performed on the system of claims 1-4, therefore rejected under the same rationale.

6. Claims 5, 12 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand and Peters as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Melchione et al. (US Pat No. 5,930,764, 7/27/99).

Regarding claim 5, which is dependent on claim 1, Anand and Peter do not disclose that the report filter is arranged to receive user define value for use as threshold value to selectively control which database information will be included in a report. Melchione discloses the three-tier-hierarchy provides the "key" at each of the household, customer, and customer levels that satisfy user criteria for queries, views, and reports (col 16, lines 45-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined. Melchione into Anand and Peters because

Melchione provides the criteria as a threshold to select which data from the database to be included in the report.

Claim 12 is the method to be performed on the system of claim 5, and therefore rejected under the same rationale.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 7/13/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that neither Anand nor Peters provides any suggestion whatsoever for an arrangement that allows generation of a billing and inventory report that can include both information stored in the host database as well as data maintained independently from the host database and input by the user.

Examiner disagrees.

Peters, in combination with Anand, discloses the subscriber data, which is input through the various user terminal, including *maintenance information*, *billing information*, *service information*, address and identification information, and audit information (col 4, lines 43-53). Peters also discloses that a user is required to enter an ID as well as a password, which are information relating to a subscriber and maintained independently from the central storage device, to access to files and data in the central storage device (col 4, lines 63-67 to col 5, lines 1-8).

Application/Control Number: 09/001,643

Art Unit: 2176

### Conclusion

Page 7

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax number to this Art Unit is (703) 308-9731.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2176

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5403 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

clh

8/28/01

STEPHEN S. HONG